

Independent Office of Evaluation



Presentation ECG Webinar

Evaluating Organizational Setups:
Lessons from IOE Corporate Level
Evaluation of IFAD's Decentralization
Experience

18 March 2024



IFAD's Decentralization Experience





Rationale and Scope of Evaluation

Rationale:

Given the pace and magnitude of the changes introduced, the Board wanted a follow-up to the 2015 CLE to learn lessons and ensure corrective action



Scope:

Assess the claim that decentralization strengthened IFAD's development effectiveness*.



Assess the effectiveness, efficiency and coherence of organizational changes (2015-2022) to achieve



Sources of Evidence



Key informant interviews:

686

IFAD staff and stakeholders



Comparative 7
study:

IFIs and
UN agencies

807

Electronic survey:

case studies

15



Thematic deep dives

human resources, knowledge management)

Econometric analysis of portfolio:



projects

responses from staff and stake holders





INF LIFAD Challenges - Methodological



Assessing the contribution of decentralization to Development Effectiveness

Multivariate analysis found the contribution to be inconclusive -

 Existing practice was to compare project performance with and without country offices – Necessary but not sufficient

Relied on qualitative analysis from country case studies

 Understanding the conditions under which ICOs are likely to improve development effectiveness





Analysing Trade-Offs

Cost of Field Presence and Resources for Country Programme Delivery

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Total cost of field presence (\$M)	18	25	29	48	51	52	65
Total cost of field presence as a share of administrative budget	12%	17%	19%	30%	32%	33%	39%
Country programme development budget as a share of total administrative budget	59%	53%	52%	52%	51%	49%	47%

Source: IOE Estimates from IFAD budget documents

MDBs current values: World Bank = 59%; IADB = 56%; ADB = 54%; AfDB = 49%

IDE Key Areas of Findings (/ Recommendtations)



Inadequate time made available to institute adaptive management and learning



Budget reporting did not track the actual costs of decentralization and provide transparent reporting of the full cost of decentralization



The need to reverse the decline of available resources for core client services.



IFAD increased the number of outposted staff without sufficient attention to ensure fit-for-purpose country offices



The absence of critical metrics of progress of decentralization and fragmented reporting limited the ability of the Board to exercise oversight.



Staff well-being was a stated priority; yet weak coherence of implementation efforts resulted in weakening of staff morale and well-being.



Lessons – Generating Buy-in

Immediate reaction (2023 April)

Given the sensitivity of the evaluation, to improve buy-in, IOE undertook sustained engagement with the management through out the evaluation process (design to reporting)- e.g. Core Learning Partnership Group

Enthusiastic support from staff and middle management.

Senior Management took a different stance



Longer term reaction:

By November 2023, when IOE held an organization-wide learning event, the Management has pledged to implement all recommendations and outlined the actions already underway.





Thank You



